NATIONAL WAR COLLEGE TALK

HER LANGER

July 24, 1959

Lapprisciate this opportunity to make the concluding remarks to the National Strategy Seminar for Reserve Officers. From your agends which I have reviewed and from the reports I have had of your meetings, I appreciate that you have broadly covered the various elements of Soviet strategy and factics, the nature of the Communist threat; the relative military strength of East and West, and the face of war in the nuclear age.

In dealing with the Sino-Soviet threat I find -- and here I am not referring to the sophisticated briefings that you have received -- that too often there is a tendency to go to extremes in viewing the Sino-Soviet position. There are experts in this field who tend to magnify almost all aspects of Soviet power. There are those who tend to disjoint if anduly.

Seen an extraordinary instance of the other extreme in magazine writch that have attempted to discredit, on what appears to me to be the flimelest evidence, recognized Soviet accomplishments, particularly in the field of outer space.

of the Free World, while adding to Soviet production Communist China and its Satellites, the ratio is still more favorable to the Free World.

As against this we must recognize that the rate of growth of the Communist Bloc is substantially greater than that of the Free World and over the immediate auture will probably remain so. However, even projecting these relative growth rates into the future; the date.

Bloc's productivity when the Bloc's productivity when the Bloc's productivity.

In answer to this, one may argue that if this productive capacity is subject to nuclear destruction, our industrial superiority would not be di much avail to us.

That either of the great nuclear powers could destroy the other, without the attacker himself being devastated, is not, I believe, a theory that is subscribed to an other side of the Iron Curtain, while the threat of mutual nuclear destruction is a nightmare that cannot be wholly dismissed.

for the years ahead of us is the slow attrition of the West's power position by a combination of political warfars and samewersion, economic penetration, and possibly aggressions by proxy directed primarily against the newly emerging and less developed areas of the world in Asia, Africa and even in this Hemisphere,

although not with the degree of pessimism that many adopt. And so superior. long as these are the present dimensions of the perils the wanties. industrial and productive capacity of the free world remains both as a great asset in the type of struggle we face and, if properly used, an insurance policy against slipping into military inferiority.

Although, of course; it is not the role of intelligence to attempt to reach not estimates of our relative military position vis-a-vis the Soviet Union, before leaving the subject of the direct military threat, I would add a word about its nature and extent.

From the statements of Kurushchev and other of the Soviet leaders, as well as from other evidence available to us, we conclude that the Soviet Union is placing more and more reliance on the development of ballistic missiles plus nuclear power as its weapon of attack for defense against the manned bomber; both fighter aircraft and ground-to-air and air-to-air missiles. It is also true that Khrushchev and his cohorts have done their best to deprecate the manned bomber; This may be, in part, measures to quiet the fears of their own peoples and in fact to bolster their assettions of military superiority in a particular military field. Khrusbchev has described manned bombers as museum pieces. He had this to say last May to a delegation from

the West German Social Democratic party:

Approved For Release 2001/03/02 : CIA-RDP70-00058R000200020020-5

Trom his viewpoint this is undoubtedly good propagands if he can make it stick since the USSR today is presumably in a position of inferiority wis a wis the USSR today is presumably in a position of inferiority wis a wis the USSR today is presumably in a position of inferiority wis a wis the USSR today is presumably in a position of an inferiority wis a wis the USSR today is presumably in a position of an inferiority wis a wis the USSR today is presumably in a position of an inferiority wis a wis the USSR today is presumably in a position of an inferiority wis a wis the USSR today is presumably in a position of an inferiority wis a wis the USSR today is presumably in a position of an inferiority wis a wis the USSR today is presumably in a position of an inferiority wis a wis the USSR today is presumably in a position of an inferiority wis a wis the USSR today is presumably in a position of an inferiority wis a wis the USSR today is presumably in a position of an inferiority wis a wis the USSR today is presumably in a position of an inferiority wis a wis the USSR today is presumably in a position of an inferiority wis a wis the USSR today is presumably in a position of a wish regard to making the presumably in a position of a wish regard to making the use of the use o

It obviously should remain a requirement of policy that both our military defendes and our ability to retaliate by missile and aircraft should together be kept adequate to meet the threat. Here is where, the industrial capacity of the Free World and of the U.S. in particular must play its role. In the past when a technical, scientific, and industrial problem, such as that we face today in the missile field, has been put up to the ingenuity of our scientists and production experis, we have not long remained in second place. It is certainly intended in this instance.

We believe that Karnahchey's ambitions are more subtle and in line with the basic strategy of international communism which has not basically changes over the years that which increasingly has deprecated war as such chapter instrument of policy.

On many occasions, Khrushchev has restated his objectives but never more bluntly than in his speeches in Poland these last days. Apparently referring to the phrase attributed to him, "We will bury you," he is reported to have explained in his recent ebullient remarks that when he said that communism would be the graveyard of capitalism he did not mean that communists would take shovels and start digging; history would take care of capitalists and he indicated that they too, together with the bombers, would he come museum pieces. He is reported to have added that "H there were a God and he could act he would take a good broom and

weep jou out "

Certainly Khrusik hev pictures himself as devoted to the lask of helping in this buying and sweeping. However, with all of this Khrushchev has toki his people again and again that he would not get them involved in war.

This generally has been the fanatical tenets and credo of communism, more adphisticatedly preached by Lenin, brazenly practiced by Stalin, and still the profound faith of present Kremlin leaders and probably will be that of his most immediate successors Unfortunately, Soviet policy is not restricted to building a better Russia for the Russians, to this we could not object. For the Kremlin leaders, the USSR is merely the have from which Communism is to be expanded to cover the world. As we are entering a period of greatly expanded personal intercourse between the two power blocs, with exhibitions, tourism cultival exchanges and the like, it is important not to lose sight of the findamental nature of this conflict.

Soviet Union since, to a great degree the Kremlin's objectives.

are not well addressed or necessarily chared by the Soviet people with whom our iderists/come in contact. Threhermore, Soviet policy in this regard is not fully understood by our reen people in:

this country; much less so by the citizens at the soviet Union with whom as individuals the American people have so much in common.

We should remember that the Sprict Union is a dictatorship
run by the high command of the Communist Party and the Party itself
numbers only about eight million—about 5% of the adult population
of the Soviet Union and only—% of the number of voters in our
own last Presidential election.

Furthermore, these eight million party members, through their Party Congress and their Central Committee, are well disciplined and have no stal freedom in the choosing, of their leaders or in the determining of the policies on which their fate may depend,

Approved For Release 2001/03/02: CIA-RDP70:00058R000200020020020-511.

While we view the subsidized export of communismas an improper interference in the internal affairmed true countries of if this phase of the communist program were advanced in the international field by open and peaceful means as a formed epah competition between the two great conflicting views of how society and the lives of people should be organized, we could well accept this challenge and say let us compete let the peoples choose and decide which system is the better. Khrushchev claims he is inviting us to such a

But this is a mirage. In the first place, nowhere behind the Iron Curtain have the peoples themselves had a free opportunity to choose?— Certainly not in Czechoslovakia in 1948 or in Hungary In 1956 or in East Germany today. And where in the Free World would a Khrushchev give this choice, if our Aberties could be taken from us in some other way, or even in the Soviet Union or in Communist China.

competition.

Approved For Release 2001/03/02: CIA-RDP70-00058R00020002002015.

Communism: is advanced by far more sability means and peoples are faced with the fait accompli of being taken over being they realize what has happened to them.

post-war days that capitalism was on the verge of collapse-as a result of two fratricidal wars among the Western powers. He felt that the Free World could becasily absorbed — certainly it would need a push but this the Kremlin was ready and eager to give.

weight of military occupation and appression. In Hungary this was helped on by what Rakossi described as the "salami" technique biting off bit by bit of elements of treedom until the structure was ereded.

Approved For Release 2001/03/02 : CIA-RDP70-00058R000200020020-5

In Cacchoslovakia the popular front technique succeeded in plitting the minority communist party into power. This illustrated the grave danger of a situation in any state where the communist party and its allies succeed fargining even a substantial inhority position...

These same tactics were tried in linky and France where they failed in the period following the Czechoslovak coup in 1948 due both to the increasing understanding of communist techniques and the growing impace of the Marshall Plan aid.

On the mainland of China, the tabric of the country was worn down by ten years of internal struggle and internal war and succumbing to the impace of communist military and subversive power aided and abetted by Kremain military and other support.

overt subversive altempts by the Kreinlis in the Greek civil was and the Berlin Blockade. In fact, is the manual occupation was terminated and the area reversed to tree Austria.

In Asia, Kores represented a further effort of the communist international movement to expand its world by using more aggressive tactics than they had dared to employ in Europe: All this was done before the Kremlin had developed a nuclear capability and we had one.

From reading between the lines of Khrushchev's secret speech of 1956 denouncing Stalin, if appears he felt his predecessor had:

objective, since the fall of mainland China, with the single exception of the loss of North Viet Nam -- blanced by the recovery of Eastern

Austria -- the communist tide has not advanced. There is every reason to believe that there has been a reconsideration of techniques and a redefinition of policy but no change in the ever-all objective.

military position vis-a-vis the West, in view of its new nuclear and growing missile capabilities, is more advantageous than it was in the immediate post-war days when it relied primarily on a superiority in manpower and conventional forces.

There is, therefore, no reason to believe that they will be less decreased in pressing their programs but in all probability will do so without the direct acts of provocation which Stalin resked and which today that there with the alternative of war or open acknowledged retreat. Certainly their acts in Berlin, Iraq, and elsewhere bear this out.

Approved For Release 2001/03/02 : CIA-RDP70-00058R000200020020-5

16

concentrate a major effort in the softer areas of the world, in the newly emerging states of Asia and of Africa. In this effort they will employ conomic penetration, the development of hard core communist parties - underground or above ground -- propagands both open and black, backed in those areas around the periphery of the communist bloc itself with threat of their military power.